

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Aquind Interconnector](#)
Subject: Aquind interconnector project-written submission.
Date: 06 October 2020 14:06:57
Attachments: [Document.docx](#)

Please find attached as a document my written submission in relation to the Aquind project. I submitted a draft submission as an interested party on the 19 Feb 2020. This is now my updated one.

Your ref no is EN020022

My ref no is 20025073

The total submission is 1440 words

My email is [REDACTED]

I do not wish to take part in the hearing but I would like to be kept updated please.

Many thanks

Ian Daye.

Sent from Samsung tablet.

Ian Daye

05th Oct 2020

Your ref : EN020022

My ref : 20025073

AQUIND INTERCONNECTOR PROJECT

Dear Sir / Madam.

I previously made contact with you with regards to my objections to this project and was notified I had become an interested party on the 19th Feb 2020.

I have continued to watch this proposal and still rigorously object to this project being approved.

My written submission is as follows, I base my objections under several categories:-

1. Public Health including Air pollution and exercise
2. Mental Health including anxiety, worry and stress
3. Loss or disruption to public green spaces
4. Loss or disruption to recreational spaces and facilities
5. Access to sporting and leisure facilities
6. Loss or disruption to community allotments (a new proposal)
7. Covid restrictions in the need to take exercise and further anxiety caused by those restrictions
8. Transport links and infrastructure including vehicle congestion, pollution, parking and bus services
9. Other ongoing developments (subject to local planning) in the relevant areas.

I only make submissions concerning Portsea Island. I reside in Milton and use the areas of the proposed route on a daily basis and I will be extremely adversely effected if this proposal is approved. I also make this submission on behalf of my grandchildren who also enjoy the resources that are available on this high-density and populated Island City with has ever decreasing green spaces.

Eastney beach, Fort Cumberland car park, Fort Cumberland Rd.

Eastney beach is fundamental to the coastal sea defences. It is used by local residents for leisure and is a permitted area for dog walkers all year round. The green spaces alongside the car park are used for recreational purposes. The disused Fraser gunnery range is subject of a future planning development for some 130 dwellings. This free car park will be used by Aquind for a building development at potentially the same time as the development of for that Fraser development.

Fort Cumberland Rd is a single use Rd to the Eastney Peninsular containing the following:-

Eastney Marina

Eastney Cruising Association compound and slipway

Ferry Point with a Ferry service to Hayling Island

Eastney lifeboat Station.

Car parking at the entrance to Langstone Harbour. Used for recreation at the beach and there is also a bus service link to the Hayling Island Ferry.

Bransbury Park

Is a very well used recreational park containing a play park and sports facilities. The route proposed will disrupt football pitches and a skate park facility.

Milton allotments

I am aware of this LATE proposal by Aquind which will cause untold anxiety to this important community asset. I wholeheartedly support their objections in their access to this site and the removal of infrastructure in order to tunnel underneath.

Milton nature reserve

The loss of trees, wildlife and foreshore will be devastating. This is unbelievable to even suggest disrupting in any way.

Locksway Rd.

This is a single access road and car parking to two public houses.

The Fisherman's association club house, compound and cruiser moorings at the entrance to the Locks

The Locks sailing club, dinghy compound and slipway to boat moorings within the harbour.

Furze Lane

Is a bollard controlled bus lane and used as a recognised cycling route around Portsmouth.

Its gives access to the University of Portsmouth sports complex which is also used by local clubs for adult and children's football, hockey and rugby.

This upheaval will also come at the same time as the continuing redevelopment of the St James's hospital. The phased building development of 100's of houses in the nearby grounds. Increasing heavy goods traffic turmoil throughout Locksway Rd.

Any disruption of Furze Lane will adversely effect the bus service in the Moorings Way and Locksway Rd areas. There is no close viable option for buses to travel in order to service these areas.

Milton Common.

There appears to be 3 distinct areas of disturbance proposed in this well used recreational green space. Historically land being reclaimed from Langstone Harbour and also used as a commercial tip.

Its contains 3 lakes the foreshore and a network of pathways used by joggers, cyclists, dog walkers and general exercise. Underground gas emissions caused for vents to be installed and a cap to be placed over the top of it before the vegetation and trees grew. Any disturbance to the ground will cause health concerns to local occupants.

A couple of years ago a large amount of work done on the common to improve the coastal sea defences against rising tides and to protect the houses and area from flooding. This work took place on the foreshore and a Bund was installed around the 3 lakes as a secondary defence.

The Eastern most proposed area of work appears to follow the route of this Bund. It is a vital sea defence. The area of work indicated on route maps adjacent to or in Moorings Way to Eastern Ave passes Moorings Way Infants school. The work proposed will cause disruption at the school which is particularly busy when parents drop off and pick up their infant children from school. There is no other reasonable amount of parking in that area. The area being considered is very close to the school buildings. The common is also used by the school for school trips to study the area.

The proposed route across the common onto Eastern Ave to the Eastern Rd will effectively gridlock the Eastern Ave, Salterns Rd, Shore Ave housing estate for access and car parking. These are narrow roads and it will cause havoc to the occupants in this estate.

The other area of work proposed on the common loops around Shore Avenue behind Langstone Church. This green area is again used for recreational purposes and contains a mini football pitch for children.

Eastern Rd.

Portsmouth being an Island City is serviced by 3 roads onto Portsea Island. The M275, A3 at Portsbridge and the Eastern Rd. History shows that any major disruption to any one of those 3 roads adversely effects the traffic usage of the other 2 at peak times. This causes tail backs on the M275 or large scale traffic congestion at Portsbridge or the Eastern Rd roundabouts and the A27.

It is proposed that this proposal will reduce the southbound lane from a double to a single carriageway. This will cause high levels of air pollution in that area and adversely effect the other 2 routes into the city with further air pollution caused by traffic. The city already has high levels of air pollution in various areas and this proposal will add to it. This will also add disruption, anxiety and stress to all occupants and visitors to Portsea Island.

The Eastern Rd whilst being an arterial route also gives direct access to playing fields, a football stadium, the Tudor sailing clubhouse and its boat compound and slipway for the Langstone Harbour boat moorings.

It also gives access to the Langstone View car park for parking for walks along the entire foreshore and access to the Andrew Simpson sailing Centre, compound, buildings and slipway.

I note that the proposal is then to take the cables from an area north of the Kendall's wharf across Langstone Harbour to the Farlington Marshes car parking area.

The obvious question is why would it be permitted to cross the harbour (with its protected status) at this point while the proposal seeks to reap havoc all through Eastney, Milton and the east side of Portsea Island. If that is permitted at this point why is it not permitted at an earlier point and save the obvious disruption.

This proposal will no doubt cause untold disturbance, stress and anxiety to the occupants of Portsmouth and will cause untold damage and disturbance to our green, recreational, community and sporting areas.

I find it incredible that this planning has been taken out of the hands of local planning departments. I worry that there have been changes to the proposed route without obvious public consultation. How many more will there be.

I have not found and documentation with regards to public health with regards to any perceived dangers with the laying of these cables with any EMF generated for local residents or to any electronic devices for medical support.

I have found no positives in the proposal for the residents of Portsmouth. I can only see negatives for people's physical and mental wellbeing and loss or disruption to our quality of life and loss or disruption to our green spaces. I hope the proposal is rejected and for the proposer to find a less impactful route for this project.

Ian Daye.